

3 November 1966

Ham:

Here are some cryptic notes on the proposed CIA film:

1. There is no mention of S & T activities. A balanced presentation requires that all elements of the Agency be accounted for whether clandestine or scientific. Some ways in which S & T could be portrayed are:

a. Shot of computer center showing that intelligence procedures and information are also being automated.

b. Shot of equipment in [REDACTED] facility to show electronics processing.

c. Engineers (instead of analysts) with slide rule working on performance charts and mathematical equations.

d. Analysts using oscilloscope.

2. S & T could be introduced by a film of missiles being shot off at Cape Kennedy, pictures of atomic bomb explosion, also space activities (Gemini Program). These films would convey the problems which face S & T.

3. Might possibly include pictures of Soviet trawlers.

4. Increased attention should be given to the DCI in his dual capacity as Director and also as head of intelligence

25X1A

Chrono

25X1A

ILS/DDS&T
6E44

4248

2 November 1966

25X1A

4C20

1. As I mentioned to you in
our telecon, OSI can find no one
who was interested in [REDACTED]
back in 1962.

25X1A

2. I referred the correspon-
dence to [REDACTED],
Deputy Director, Office of Research
and Development (ORD). He
stated that as a general rule, we
did follow-up on reports of ESP
and other related phenomena,
providing we have access to the
original source. In this case,
the subjects are not available.

25X1A 3. Dr. Aldrich suggests
that a letter of reply be sent
to [REDACTED] thanking him
for his letter and interest,
indicating that the Agency does not
support research and develop-
ment in these areas but is
interested in any results he may
achieve which have significance
to intelligence.

4. I hope these comments
will be of some use to you.

DD/S&T # 5149-66

PA P

13 Oct. 1966

Letter from [REDACTED]

Subject: ESP Study
Addressed to Director,
seeking support for his
study on ESP.

community and principal intelligence advisor to the President.

5. Would expect that some trite remarks would be eliminated in the next draft script such as: second sentence, page 11; second sentence, page 6.

Bill